Case 3:09-cr-00079-MHP Document 23 Filed 01/27/10 Page 1 of 2

```
1
   JOHN YZURDIAGA
   Attorney at Law
   State Bar No. 49031
   800 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1510
3
   Los Angeles, California 90017
               (213) 622-9262
   Telephone:
4
   Facsimile:
                (213) 622-0445
   Email: johnxyz@sbcglobal.net
5
   Attorney for Defendant
   TOMAS CASTANEDA
6
7
8
                       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9
                 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                                       CASE NO.: 3:09-CR-00079-MHP
12
                   Plaintiff,
                                       [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING
                                       CHANGE OF PLEA HEARING AND
                                       REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME
13
        V.
                                       UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
14
   TOMAS CASTANEDA,
                                       Current Date: 02/01/2010
15
                   Defendant.
                                      New Date: 03/08/2010
16
17
                             FINDINGS OF FACT
         Upon consideration of the stipulation between defendant Tomas
18
19
20
   cause shown, the Court finds that:
```

Castaneda and plaintiff United States of America, and for good

- 1. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 2. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay.
- 3. The additional time requested by this stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. $\S\S$ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

28 //

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Case 3:09-cr-00079-MHP Document 23 Filed 01/27/10 Page 2 of 2

For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would be best served by a continuance of the change of plea hearing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, and would deny defendant continuity of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, 18, U.S.C. \S 3161(h)(7)(A), when considering the factors under 18 U.S.C. $\S\S$ 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the February 1, 2010 change of plea hearing be vacated and continued to March 8, 2010, at the hour 10:00 of 9:00 a.m.

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this <u>26th</u> day of January , 2010.

